American Journal of Open Research, 3(10), October, 2024, xx-xx
E-ISSN: 2834-7668

Artificial Intelligence and Environmental Sustainability: Insights from PLS-SEM on Resource Efficiency and Carbon Emission Reduction

Aman Khan Burki[1], Mohamed Normen Ahamed Mafaz[2], Zaki Ahmad*[3], Auni Zulfaka[4], Mohamad Yazid Bin Isa[5]

[1]Al-Madinah International University, Malaysia
[2]Management and Science University, 40100 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia
[3]School of Economics, Finance and Banking, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok, Kedah, Malaysia
[4]IIUM Institute Islamic Banking and Finance, International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia
[5]Islamic Business School, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok, Kedah, Malaysia
Email: 94zakiahmad@gmail.com

Abstract: *This study investigates the relationship between Artificial Intelligence (AI) and environmental sustainability, focusing on how AI-driven resource efficiency, energy consumption, environmental monitoring, and carbon emission reduction contribute to sustainability outcomes. The purpose of this research is to examine the dual nature of AI's impact on sustainability by testing both positive and negative effects using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). Drawing from a sample of 233 firms in the energy, transportation, and manufacturing sectors, this study collects data through an online survey measured on a five-point Likert scale. Four hypotheses are tested, revealing that AI-driven resource efficiency and environmental monitoring positively affect sustainability, while AI energy consumption has a negative impact. Furthermore, AI integration in industrial processes helps reduce resource depletion. The findings suggest that governments should incentivize AI adoption aimed at resource efficiency and environmental monitoring through policies like tax breaks or subsidies, particularly for firms reducing their carbon footprint. To mitigate the negative effects of AI energy consumption, policymakers are urged to promote energy-efficient AI models and invest in renewable energy infrastructure. A balanced policy approach is crucial to optimize the environmental benefits of AI while minimizing its energy-related drawbacks.*

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence (AI), Environmental Sustainability, AI-driven Resource Efficiency, Energy Consumption, Environmental Monitoring, Carbon Emission Reduction.*

INTRODUCTION

Environmental sustainability has become one of the most pressing global issues in recent decades, driven by the escalating challenges of climate change, resource depletion, and environmental pollution. Recent data from the Global Carbon Project (2023) shows that global carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions reached 36.8 billion tonnes in 2022, marking a critical juncture for climate action. To meet the targets outlined in the Paris Agreement, countries must collectively reduce emissions by at least 45% by 2030 to limit global warming to 1.5°C. Failure to achieve this goal could result in catastrophic consequences, including an estimated 1-meter rise in sea levels by 2100 and an increase in the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events by 50%. Countries like the European Union have committed to a 55% emissions reduction by 2030, while the U.S. aims for a 50-52% reduction within the same period, emphasizing the urgency of immediate global cooperation. As nations and industries transition to greener and more sustainable practices, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a promising tool with the potential to significantly enhance these efforts (Bolón-Canedo et al., 2024a).

DOI:
https://opsearch.us/index.php/us/index

AI offers a range of capabilities that can be leveraged to address environmental challenges by optimizing resource use, reducing emissions, and improving the monitoring and management of environmental systems. According to Perez et al. (2021), AI can enhance decision-making processes by enabling more accurate and efficient management of critical sectors such as energy, agriculture, and industrial processes. AI-driven technologies, such as machine learning algorithms and predictive analytics, allow for the dynamic adjustment of systems in real time, resulting in more efficient resource use and reduced environmental impact (A. A. Khan et al., 2024).

For example, in the energy sector, AI has been used to optimize power grids by accurately forecasting demand and dynamically adjusting energy distribution, resulting in reduced energy waste and improved integration of renewable sources like solar and wind (Kumar et al., 2024). Empirical studies have demonstrated that AI-driven smart grids can lower energy consumption by up to 20% while also enhancing the resilience of energy systems to fluctuations in supply and demand (J. I. Khan et al., 2022) (Ahmad, Ahmed, et al., 2023). Additionally, AI's capacity to process vast datasets allows for more accurate predictions of energy demand patterns, enabling more efficient resource allocation and reducing dependency on fossil fuels.

In agriculture, AI-powered precision farming systems can monitor soil conditions, weather patterns, and crop health to optimize the use of water, fertilizers, and pesticides. Studies have shown that AI systems can reduce water usage by 30% and fertilizer usage by 25% while improving crop yields (Smith & Jones, 2021; (Ahmad et al., 2024). These technologies help reduce the environmental footprint of agricultural activities, which are a major source of water depletion and greenhouse gas emissions. AI's role in improving the sustainability of agriculture is particularly critical, given that the sector is responsible for approximately 20% of global greenhouse gas emissions (Canton, 2021).

In industrial processes, AI can drive sustainability by optimizing production lines, reducing material waste, and improving the efficiency of supply chains. AI-based predictive maintenance systems can identify potential equipment failures before they occur, reducing downtime and minimizing the need for resource-intensive repairs (Shen & Zhang, 2024) (Ahmad, Mafaz, et al., 2023)). By enabling more efficient use of resources and reducing waste, AI can help industries lower their environmental impact while maintaining productivity.

Despite the significant potential of AI to enhance environmental sustainability, its widespread adoption is not without challenges. One of the primary concerns is the high energy consumption associated with the development and deployment of AI systems. Training large AI models requires vast computational resources, often resulting in substantial energy use and carbon emissions. A study by Strubell et al. (2020) found that training a single AI model can emit as much CO2 as five cars over their entire lifetimes, raising concerns about the net environmental impact of AI technologies.

The energy-intensive nature of AI presents a regulatory and environmental paradox: while AI has the potential to reduce emissions and optimize resource use, the processes involved in training and operating these systems often exacerbate the very environmental issues they are intended to mitigate. To address these challenges, regulatory frameworks are emerging that focus on setting guidelines for energy consumption and encouraging the development of sustainable AI practices. For example, policies such as the European Green Deal and the U.S. Department of Energy's initiatives promote energy efficiency in AI operations, pushing for innovations in AI model design that prioritize lower energy consumption. Additionally, regulations concerning data privacy and algorithm bias are gaining traction, as the ethical implications of AI systems extend beyond environmental impacts. These include the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which addresses data privacy concerns, and initiatives aimed at reducing algorithmic bias, such as the Algorithmic Accountability

Act in the U.S. To truly contribute to sustainability, AI innovations must not only focus on reducing their energy footprint but also adhere to ethical guidelines regarding fairness and privacy. Green & Miller (n.d.) stress the importance of developing more energy-efficient AI architectures, alongside the use of renewable energy sources, to power AI operations while addressing these broader regulatory concerns.

In addition to energy concerns, there are significant ethical and regulatory challenges associated with the use of AI for sustainability. The lack of clear regulatory frameworks governing the use of AI in environmental applications creates uncertainty for industries seeking to adopt these technologies (Ahmad, Mokal, et al., 2023). Without comprehensive policies in place, there is a risk that AI applications may be deployed in ways that are not aligned with broader sustainability goals or that exacerbate existing social inequalities. Moreover, issues related to data privacy and algorithmic bias must be addressed to ensure that AI-driven sustainability efforts are both effective and equitable (Sætra, n.d.).

While AI has been widely recognized for its potential to reduce emissions and optimize resource management, empirical evidence on its actual impact in industrial and environmental contexts remains limited. Most of the existing literature focuses on the theoretical potential of AI to drive sustainability, but few studies have rigorously examined how AI technologies are being applied in practice to achieve measurable environmental outcomes (Bolón-Canedo et al., 2024b). This study aims to fill that gap by providing a comprehensive analysis of how AI affects sustainability across key sectors, including energy, transportation, and manufacturing. By examining the ways in which AI is currently being used to optimize resource efficiency, reduce emissions, and improve environmental monitoring, this research seeks to provide a clearer understanding of the real-world impact of AI on sustainability.

Given the growing urgency to address climate change, understanding AI's role in promoting sustainability is critical. This study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by offering insights into how AI technologies can be effectively utilized to enhance sustainability across different sectors (Dwivedi et al., 2021). Furthermore, the findings of this research will be of significant value to policymakers, industries, and environmental advocates, providing practical guidance on how AI can be leveraged to meet global sustainability targets. In addition to contributing to academic literature, this study has practical implications for industries seeking to adopt AI-driven sustainable solutions.

The hypotheses for this study were developed based on existing literature on AI's impact on sustainability. Four hypotheses were formulated corresponding to the latent variables:

H1: AI-driven resource efficiency positively impacts environmental sustainability.

Previous studies have demonstrated that AI can enhance resource management, particularly in energy and water usage (Kumar et al., 2024) (El Bilali et al., 2021). This hypothesis posits that firms using AI to optimize resource efficiency will experience improved environmental outcomes, such as reduced emissions and waste.

H2: AI energy consumption negatively affects sustainability.

AI technologies, particularly deep learning models, require significant computational power, contributing to increased energy consumption and carbon emissions (Strubell et al., 2020). This hypothesis assumes that higher energy consumption associated with AI systems negatively affects sustainability.

H3: AI environmental monitoring positively impacts emission reduction.

AI technologies that monitor environmental data in real time can help reduce emissions by enabling more accurate decision-making and intervention (Ning et al., 2024). This hypothesis explores the relationship between AI's ability to improve environmental monitoring and its impact on reducing emissions.

H4: AI integration in industrial processes reduces resource depletion.

AI-driven optimization in industrial processes can lead to more efficient use of resources, reducing material waste and energy consumption (Shen & Zhang, 2024). This hypothesis assumes that firms using AI to streamline production processes will experience lower levels of resource depletion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study aims to examine the relationship between Artificial Intelligence (AI) and environmental sustainability, focusing on how AI-driven resource efficiency, energy consumption, environmental monitoring, and carbon emission reduction impact sustainability outcomes. To explore these relationships, purposive sampling was used to ensure that the participants selected were those most relevant and knowledgeable about the AI and sustainability domains. While purposive sampling is advantageous for targeting specific insights, it may limit the generalizability of the findings to broader populations. Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) was employed, a robust analytical technique suitable for predictive models involving latent variables. The methodology is divided into the following sections: Latent Variables and Measurement Model, Hypotheses Development, Data Collection and Sample, and PLS-SEM Analysis.

This study utilized a sample of 233 firms from the energy, transportation, and manufacturing sectors. These industries were selected because they are major contributors to both economic output and environmental impact, making them ideal candidates for studying the intersection of AI and sustainability (International Energy Agency, 2021). Firms were selected based on their known adoption of AI technologies, ensuring that the sample represented a diverse cross-section of organizations using AI for sustainability purposes.

A structured survey was designed to collect data on AI usage and its perceived impact on sustainability. The survey consisted of two parts: (1) general information on the firm’s use of AI technologies and (2) detailed questions on the four latent variables: AI-driven resource efficiency, AI energy consumption, environmental monitoring, and carbon emission reduction. Responses were measured using a five-point Likert scale, with options ranging from "Strongly disagree" to "Strongly agree." To ensure a high response rate, the survey was administered online, with follow-up reminders. The final dataset was screened for missing data and outliers, with incomplete responses excluded from the analysis.

The sampling method employed was purposive sampling, targeting firms known to adopt AI technologies in their operations. A sample size of 233 was deemed appropriate based on previous studies employing PLS-SEM, which suggests a minimum sample size of 200 for complex models involving latent variables (Hair et al., 2019). Additionally, a sample of 233 provides sufficient statistical power to detect significant relationships between latent variables, ensuring reliable results.

Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) was chosen as the analytical technique for this study due to its suitability for exploratory research and its ability to handle complex models with multiple latent variables (Hair et al., 2019). PLS-SEM is particularly well-suited for predictive models, where the primary goal is to explain variance in the dependent variable (environmental sustainability) rather than confirm an existing theory (Sarstedt et al., 2021). The analysis was conducted using Smart PLS software, a widely used tool for PLS-SEM analysis.

The measurement model was evaluated based on criteria for convergent and discriminant validity. Convergent validity was assessed using Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and factor loadings, with an AVE threshold of 0.50 and factor loadings above 0.70, indicating adequate convergent validity (Hair et al., 2019). Discriminant validity was tested using the Fornell-Larcker criterion, ensuring that each construct was distinct from others in the model (Sarstedt et al., 2021).

Once the measurement model was validated, the structural model was tested to assess the hypothesized relationships between the latent variables. Path coefficients, t-values, and p-values were generated to evaluate the strength and significance of each hypothesized relationship. A bootstrapping procedure with 5,000 resamples was used to obtain stable estimates of the path coefficients and to test their significance (Sarstedt et al., 2019). Model fit indices such as the Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) and the Normed Fit Index (NFI) were used to assess the overall fit of the model. An SRMR value of less than 0.08 indicates a good fit between the hypothesized model and the observed data, while an NFI value above 0.90 suggests an acceptable model fit (Hair et al., 2019).

Multicollinearity among the independent variables was checked using Variance Inflation Factors (VIF). VIF values below 5 indicate that multicollinearity is not a concern, ensuring that the relationships between latent variables are not distorted by collinearity issues (Sarstedt et al., 2021).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the results of the Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) analysis used to evaluate the relationships between AI-driven resource efficiency, AI energy consumption, environmental monitoring, and carbon emission reduction, as well as their collective impact on environmental sustainability. The analysis includes an evaluation of the measurement model and the structural model, with path coefficients, significance levels, and model fit indices.

Measurement Model

The measurement model was evaluated for convergent and discriminant validity to ensure the reliability and validity of the latent constructs.

Convergent Validity

Convergent validity was assessed through factor loadings and Average Variance Extracted (AVE). As shown in Table 1, all factor loadings exceeded the threshold of 0.70, and AVE values were above the recommended 0.50 level, indicating that each latent construct adequately explains the variance in its indicators (Hair et al., 2019).

Table 1.

Factor Loadings and Average Variance Extracted (AVE)

Construct	Indicator	Factor Loading	t-value	AVE
AI-driven Resource Efficiency	Energy optimization	0.84	14.32	0.67
	Water usage reduction	0.81	13.95	
	Material efficiency improvement	0.79	13.12	
AI Energy Consumption	AI model training energy use	0.85	15.47	0.65
	Ongoing AI system energy consumption	0.80	13.89	
Environmental Monitoring	Real-time environmental data accuracy	0.83	14.65	0.66
	Predictive analytics for emissions	0.78	13.25	
Carbon Emission Reduction	Reduced emissions	0.86	15.78	0.68
	Improved energy efficiency	0.82	14.53	
	Integration of renewable energy	0.81	13.76	

As shown in Table 1, the AVE values for all latent variables exceeded the 0.50 threshold, indicating adequate convergent validity.

Discriminant Validity

Discriminant validity was evaluated using the Fornell-Larcker criterion, ensuring that the square root of the AVE for each construct was greater than the correlations between constructs. This confirmed that the constructs were distinct from one another.

Table 2.

Fornell-Larcker Criterion for Discriminant Validity

Construct	Resource Efficiency	Energy Consumption	Monitoring	Emission Reduction
AI-driven Resource Efficiency	0.82	0.34	0.45	0.49
AI Energy Consumption	0.34	0.81	0.32	0.29
Environmental Monitoring	0.45	0.32	0.81	0.53
Carbon Emission Reduction	0.49	0.29	0.53	0.83

The diagonal elements (square root of AVE) are higher than the off-diagonal correlations, confirming discriminant validity.

Structural Model Evaluation

After validating the measurement model, the structural model was analyzed to assess the hypothesized relationships. Path coefficients, t-values, and p-values were calculated to test the hypotheses, and model fit was evaluated using the Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) and the Normed Fit Index (NFI).

Table 3.

Path Coefficients and Significance Levels

Hypothesized Relationship	Path Coefficient (β)	t-value	p-value	Significance
H1: AI-driven Resource Efficiency ➔ Environmental Sustainability	0.48	12.34	< 0.001	Significant +
H2: AI Energy Consumption ➔ Environmental Sustainability	-0.28	7.29	< 0.05	Significant -
H3: AI Environmental Monitoring ➔ Carbon Emission Reduction	0.52	11.21	< 0.001	Significant +
H4: AI Integration in Industrial Processes ➔ Resource Depletion Reduction	0.45	9.88	< 0.001	Significant +

The results presented in Table 3 confirm that all four hypotheses in this study were supported by the data. Firstly, H1 demonstrates that AI-driven resource efficiency has a positive impact on environmental sustainability ($\beta = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$), with firms that utilize AI to optimize resource management experiencing substantial improvements in sustainability. This is particularly evident in reduced energy consumption and waste, aligning with previous research by Smith and Jones (2024) and Perez et al. (2021). Secondly, H2 reveals that AI energy consumption negatively affects sustainability ($\beta = -0.28$, $p < 0.05$). The increased energy demands associated with AI systems contribute to negative sustainability outcomes, reinforcing the concerns raised by Strubell et al. (2020) regarding the environmental costs of AI technologies. Thirdly, H3 shows that AI-driven environmental monitoring positively impacts emission reduction ($\beta = 0.52$, $p < 0.001$). AI's ability to enhance real-time data monitoring significantly contributes to lowering emissions through more informed decision-making and timely interventions, supporting the findings of Thompson et al. (2024). Lastly, H4 confirms that AI integration in industrial processes reduces resource depletion ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$). The adoption of AI-driven optimization in industries leads to more efficient use of resources, reducing material waste and energy consumption, in line with the research conducted by Brooks and Wang (2024).

Model Fit

The model fit indices confirm the adequacy of the structural model.

Table 4.

Model Fit Indices

Fit Index	Value	Threshold	Interpretation
Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR)	0.053	< 0.08	Good Fit
Normed Fit Index (NFI)	0.91	> 0.90	Acceptable Fit

As shown in Table 4, the SRMR value of 0.053 indicates a good model fit, and the NFI value of 0.91 suggests an acceptable fit between the hypothesized model and the observed data (Hair et al., 2019).

Analytical Discussion

The findings of this study align with and expand upon the existing literature on AI's potential to impact environmental sustainability, offering insights into the nuanced ways AI technologies can both enhance and challenge sustainable practices across various sectors. Each of the supported hypotheses provides valuable evidence regarding the role of AI in improving resource management, energy consumption, environmental monitoring, and resource depletion.

AI-driven Resource Efficiency

The positive relationship between AI-driven resource efficiency and environmental sustainability (H1) corroborates the work of Smith and Jones (2024) and Perez et al. (2021), who emphasized AI's capacity to optimize resource management, particularly in energy and water usage. AI-enabled technologies, such as smart grids and precision agriculture, are proven to significantly reduce energy consumption and water usage by making real-time adjustments based on data analytics. The result of this study ($\beta = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$) adds to this body of evidence by showing that firms leveraging AI technologies see substantial improvements in operational efficiency, leading to more sustainable resource use. These improvements reflect broader trends in industrial sustainability efforts, where AI's ability to optimize processes results in not only reduced waste but also significant cost savings, as highlighted in the research of Johnson and Lee (2022).

AI Energy Consumption

However, while AI enhances resource efficiency, the findings also confirm concerns about its energy-intensive nature. The negative relationship between AI energy consumption and environmental sustainability (H2) ($\beta = -0.28$, $p < 0.05$) supports the arguments raised by Strubell et al. (2020) and Green and Miller (n.d.), who identified the high energy demands associated with AI model training as a significant environmental issue. This study's results highlight the paradox where AI, a technology designed to optimize energy usage and promote sustainability, also contributes to increased energy consumption and carbon emissions due to the computational power required for machine learning and deep learning algorithms. This negative impact stresses the importance of further research into energy-efficient AI architectures and greater reliance on renewable energy sources for powering AI systems.

AI and Environmental Monitoring

The study's findings on AI environmental monitoring (H3) ($\beta = 0.52$, $p < 0.001$) are consistent with previous research demonstrating the transformative role of AI in environmental data collection and analysis. AI's ability to provide real-time insights into emissions, air and water quality, and environmental risks enhances the ability of firms and policymakers to make data-driven decisions that lead to emissions reduction (Ning et al., 2024). By facilitating more accurate and timely environmental monitoring, AI technologies can improve sustainability outcomes, particularly in urban areas and industries where emissions control is a major concern. The results align with Cruz and Del Rio (2021), who found that cities utilizing AI-powered air quality monitoring systems saw a measurable decrease in pollution levels. This finding reinforces the idea that AI's most significant contributions to sustainability may lie in its ability to collect and analyze environmental data, allowing for more effective interventions.

AI Integration in Industrial Processes

The positive relationship between AI integration in industrial processes and resource depletion reduction (H4) ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$) confirms previous studies, such as those by Brooks and Wang (2024), which demonstrated that AI-driven optimization leads to more efficient resource use in industrial settings. AI technologies, particularly those used for predictive maintenance and supply chain optimization, have been shown to reduce material waste, energy consumption, and operational inefficiencies, leading to more sustainable production processes. This study supports the notion that AI can play a critical role in achieving resource efficiency by optimizing processes and reducing the environmental impact of industrial activities. Furthermore, AI's ability to streamline operations allows firms to minimize the overuse of raw materials, lowering their overall environmental footprint and supporting long-term sustainability goals.

Balancing AI's Benefits and Challenges

While the findings highlight the numerous benefits of AI in improving environmental sustainability, they also highlight the complex trade-offs involved in AI adoption. The positive impacts on resource efficiency, environmental monitoring, and industrial optimization must be balanced against the significant energy consumption challenges associated with AI. These results reflect the ongoing debate in the literature about whether AI can be fully leveraged for sustainability without exacerbating environmental harm through its energy requirements (Strubell et al., 2020). This study contributes to this discussion by providing empirical evidence that, while AI offers considerable potential for sustainability, its current energy consumption patterns remain a significant barrier to realizing its full environmental benefits.

Policy and Practical Implications

The findings of this study offer valuable insights into how AI can be harnessed for environmental sustainability while also addressing the challenges associated with its energy consumption. Based on the results, several policy and practical implications can be derived, focusing on promoting AI adoption in a way that maximizes its environmental benefits while mitigating its downsides.

Energy-efficient AI Systems

The study confirms that AI technologies, particularly in resource optimization and environmental monitoring, can significantly enhance sustainability. However, the negative impact of AI energy consumption highlights the need for policies that encourage the development and use of energy-efficient AI systems. Governments and international regulatory bodies should incentivize research into energy-efficient AI architectures, such as low-power AI chips and algorithms that require less computational power. These policies could include tax incentives or grants for tech companies that innovate in the area of "green AI." Moreover, AI data centers should be encouraged or mandated to use renewable energy sources to power their operations. Governments can play a critical role by implementing regulations that require data centers to adopt sustainable energy practices, such as sourcing electricity from solar or wind power. This would help offset the environmental costs of AI's high energy demands while promoting AI as a tool for sustainability.

Integration of AI in Environmental Monitoring Systems

The positive impact of AI on emission reduction through enhanced environmental monitoring suggests that policymakers should prioritize the integration of AI-driven environmental monitoring systems in urban areas and high-emission industries. Governments can collaborate with technology companies to deploy AI-based sensors and predictive analytics systems that track air quality, water quality, and emissions in real-time. These systems can provide valuable data for both regulators and businesses, enabling timely interventions and better policy-making. For example, municipalities can use AI-driven systems to monitor air pollution levels and adjust traffic management or industrial regulations accordingly. This would lead to more responsive governance in addressing environmental issues and could help cities meet their sustainability and emissions reduction targets. Furthermore, real-time environmental monitoring can enhance transparency and accountability, allowing citizens to track pollution and emissions levels, which could lead to increased public engagement in sustainability efforts.

Regulatory Frameworks for AI Adoption in Industry

The study shows that AI-driven optimization in industrial processes contributes significantly to reducing resource depletion and improving operational efficiency. However, for these benefits to be realized on a large scale, regulatory frameworks must be developed to support AI adoption in key industries, such as manufacturing, energy, and transportation. Governments should implement policies that encourage firms to integrate AI into their operations, offering incentives such as tax breaks, subsidies, or favorable loans for companies investing in AI technologies that optimize resource use and reduce environmental impact. Furthermore, governments should establish industry-specific guidelines and standards for the ethical and sustainable use of AI. These frameworks should ensure that AI is deployed in ways that align with environmental goals, such as reducing carbon emissions, minimizing waste, and improving resource management. Policymakers should also collaborate with industry stakeholders to ensure that AI technologies are being used responsibly and that potential risks, such as increased energy consumption, are addressed.

Promotion of Renewable Energy Integration

The study highlights the need for AI-driven systems to integrate renewable energy sources into industrial and energy grid operations to reduce carbon footprints. Governments should implement policies that promote the integration of AI with renewable energy technologies, such as solar, wind, and hydroelectric power. For instance, AI can be used to forecast energy demand, optimize energy storage, and manage the distribution of renewable energy in real-time. To support this, policymakers could introduce incentives for companies that use AI to optimize renewable energy production and storage. Additionally, regulatory reforms could be enacted to streamline the integration of AI into national energy grids, facilitating more efficient energy distribution and reducing dependence on fossil fuels. Such initiatives would help industries meet their carbon reduction goals and accelerate the transition to sustainable energy sources.

Education and Capacity Building for AI in Sustainability

To fully realize AI's potential for environmental sustainability, capacity building is needed in both the private and public sectors. Policymakers should invest in education and training programs that equip industry professionals, policymakers, and environmental managers with the skills to implement and manage AI technologies effectively. Educational institutions and research centers should also be supported in developing curricula that focus on AI for sustainability, preparing the next generation of professionals to use AI in addressing environmental challenges. Governments could also establish public-private partnerships to facilitate knowledge transfer and innovation in AI for sustainability. These collaborations could include pilot projects that demonstrate the practical benefits of AI technologies in real-world environmental and industrial settings, advancing wider adoption across industries.

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated the significant role that Artificial Intelligence (AI) can play in enhancing environmental sustainability while also highlighting the challenges associated with its energy consumption. The analysis confirmed that AI-driven resource efficiency, environmental monitoring, and industrial process optimization positively contribute to sustainability efforts by reducing energy consumption, emissions, and resource depletion. However, the high energy demands of AI systems, particularly in model training and deployment, present a significant barrier to achieving net environmental benefits. To address these challenges, industry and policymakers must implement practical policy recommendations. First, promoting the development and adoption of energy-efficient AI systems is essential. Governments and regulatory bodies can offer incentives for research and innovation in AI technologies that minimize energy usage, such as more efficient algorithms and hardware. Second, integrating renewable energy sources into AI operations should be prioritized. Policymakers can provide subsidies or tax incentives to companies that power AI data centers with renewable energy, ensuring that AI's environmental footprint is minimized.

Additionally, AI's application in environmental monitoring and industrial processes should be expanded through government-led initiatives and public-private partnerships that support industries in adopting AI solutions to optimize resource management and reduce emissions. Regulatory frameworks must also be updated to ensure that AI technologies are deployed responsibly, address ethical concerns, enhance transparency in data use, and ensure accountability in algorithmic decision-making. Lastly, continued investment in AI-driven sustainability solutions is crucial. This includes not only funding for AI development but also for the infrastructure that supports renewable energy integration and AI-powered environmental monitoring systems. By taking these steps, industry and policymakers can maximize the environmental benefits of AI while mitigating its drawbacks, positioning AI as a key driver in achieving global sustainability goals.

REFERENCES

Ahmad, Z., Ahmed, M., & Mokal, M. N. (2023). Waqf Management Through Fintech in Malaysia. *Journal of Islamic Finance*, *12*(2), 114–125.

Ahmad, Z., Hidthiir, M. H. Bin, & Rahman, M. M. (2024). Impact of CSR disclosure on profitability and firm performance of Malaysian halal food companies. *Discover Sustainability*, *5*(1), 18.

Ahmad, Z., Mafaz, M. N. A., & Rahman, M. M. (2023). Harmony in halal: Understanding stakeholder views analyzing products and evaluating policies in Malaysia. *West Science Business and Management*, *1*(05), 495–508.

Ahmad, Z., Mokal, M. N., & Rahman, M. (2023). Takaful industry in the era of technological advancement. *JEKSYAH Islamic Economics Journal*, *3*(02), 56–69.

Bolón-Canedo, V., Morán-Fernández, L., Cancela, B., & Alonso-Betanzos, A. (2024a). A review of green artificial intelligence: Towards a more sustainable future. *Neurocomputing*, *599*, 128096. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2024.128096

Bolón-Canedo, V., Morán-Fernández, L., Cancela, B., & Alonso-Betanzos, A. (2024b). A review of green artificial intelligence: Towards a more sustainable future. *Neurocomputing*, *599*, 128096. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2024.128096

Canton, H. (2021). Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations—FAO. In *The Europa directory of international organizations 2021* (pp. 297–305). Routledge.

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A., Galanos, V., Ilavarasan, P. V., Janssen, M., Jones, P., Kar, A. K., Kizgin, H., Kronemann, B., Lal, B., Lucini, B., … Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *57*, 101994. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002

El Bilali, H., Strassner, C., & Ben Hassen, T. (2021). Sustainable agri-food systems: environment, economy, society, and policy. *Sustainability*, *13*(11), 6260.

García-Hernández, J., Leyva-Morales, J. B., Bastidas-Bastidas, P. de J., Leyva-García, G. N., Valdez-Torres, J. B., Aguilar-Zarate, G., & Betancourt-Lozano, M. (2021). A comparison of pesticide residues in soils from two highly technified agricultural valleys in northwestern Mexico. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B*, *56*(6), 548–565.

Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, *31*(1), 2–24.

Khan, A. A., Ahmad, Z., Abbas, R., & Ahmad, M. (2024). Economic Empowerment: The Role of Digital Financial Inclusion in Boosting Gross National Income in Upper-Income Countries. *Review of Education, Administration & Law*, *7*(1), 1–17.

Khan, J. I., Khan, J., Ali, F., Ullah, F., Bacha, J., & Lee, S. (2022). Artificial intelligence and internet of things (AI-IoT) technologies in response to COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. *Ieee Access*, *10*, 62613–62660.

Kumar, A., Rajput, R., Bihari, C., Kumari, S., Rahman, A., Kanaujia, S. P., Jamir, S., Kumar, R., Shankar, R., & Singh, R. K. (2024). Role of Artificial Intelligence in Vegetable Production: A Review. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, *30*(9), 950–963.

Leal Filho, W., Viera Trevisan, L., Simon Rampasso, I., Anholon, R., Pimenta Dinis, M. A., Londero Brandli, L., Sierra, J., Lange Salvia, A., Pretorius, R., Nicolau, M., Paulino Pires Eustachio, J. H., & Mazutti, J. (2023). When the alarm bells ring: Why the UN sustainable development goals may not be achieved by 2030. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *407*, 137108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137108

Ning, J., Pang, S., Arifin, Z., Zhang, Y., Epa, U. P. K., Qu, M., Zhao, J., Zhen, F., Chowdhury, A., & Guo, R. (2024). The Diversity of artificial intelligence applications in marine pollution: A Systematic literature review. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, *12*(7), 1181.

Sætra, H. S. (n.d.). *Technology and Sustainable Development*.

Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2021). Partial least squares structural equation modeling. In *Handbook of market research* (pp. 587–632). Springer.

Shen, Y., & Zhang, X. (2024). Blue sky protection campaign: Assessing the role of digital technology in reducing air pollution. *Systems*, *12*(2), 55.

Strubell, E., Ganesh, A., & McCallum, A. (2020). Energy and policy considerations for modern deep learning research. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, *34*(09), 13693–13696.